

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/535,291 | 05/16/2005 | Bhushan L Sopori | NREL 02-15 | 5821 | |
| 7590 08/07/2009 Paul J White NREL | | 09 | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| | | | SIEFKE, SAMUEL P | | |
| 1617 Cole Blvd Golden, CO 80401 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1797 | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 08/07/2009 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|-------------------|--|
| 10/535,291 | SOPORI, BHUSHAN L | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| SAM P. SIEFKE | 1797 | |

| | SAM P. SIEFKE | 1797 | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 09 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | | | | |
| M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 operiods: | the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW/MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex- under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL. | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further continuous | nsideration and/or search (see NOT | | cause | | | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in betom to the control of the cont | | lucing or simplifying the | ne issues for | | | |
| appeal; and/or | | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | | ected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | DTOL 004) | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. | | mpliant Amendment (i | PTOL-324). | | | |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all | | imals filed amandmar | ot concelled the | | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). | iowabie ii submitted iii a separate, t | imely filed amendmen | it canceling the | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro | | be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-34, 37-40 and 59-66</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but | t before or on the date of filing a No | tice of Anneal will not | he entered | | | |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (DTO/SR/08) Paper No/e) | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | (1 TO/OB/00) Tapel NO(s). | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

/Samuel P Siefke/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797 Continuation of 3. NOTE: Specifically, "the reflective support being a separate element from the wafer being illuminated" requires further consideration and search.